		44-			
1	IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	#23			
2	Application Serial No	,278/0·11·02			
3	InventorshipR	obin			
4	Applicant				
	Examiner	rison			
5	Attorney's Docket NoMS1-206U Title: Apparatus and Method For Automatically Positioning A Cursor On A Co				
6	Thie. Typharatas and Memod For Patientalically Fositioning Troducer On Troo				
7	APPEAL BRIEF				
8	REC	CEIVED			
9	To: Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences	1 1 2002			
10					
		y Center 2600			
1·1	From: David A. Morasch Tel. 509-324-9256 ext. 210 Fax 509-323-8979	1161			
12	Customer # 22801				
13	22801 PATENT TRADEMARK OF	FICE			
14					
15	Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.192, Applicant hereby submits an appeal brief for				
16	application 09/052,278 A Notice of Appeal was filed August 9, 2002.				
17	Accordingly, Applicant appeals to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences				
18	seeking review of the Examiner's rejections.				
19	(<u></u>	r . 3			
20					
11	TLER 00000007 120769 09052278				
01 FC:1402 22	320.00 CH	W [j			
23	ω ^[π] ω [[]	0			
24		: .			

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- '	TABLE OF CONTENTS				
2					
3	Appeal Brief Items		<u>Page</u>		
4					
5	(1)	Real Party in Interest	3		
6	(2)	Related Appeals and Interferences	3		
7	(3)	Status of Claims	3		
8	(4)	Status of Amendments	3		
9	(5)	Summary of Invention	4		
10	(6)	Issues	. 4		
11	(7)	Grouping of Claims	4		
12	(8)	Argument	4		
13	(9)	Appendix of Appealed Claims	10		
14					
15					
16					
- 1					



18.

(1) Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest is the Microsoft Corporation, the assignee of all right and title to the subject invention.

(2) Related Appeals and Interferences

Appellant is not aware of any other appeals or interferences which will directly affect, be directly affected by, or otherwise have a bearing on the Board's decision to this pending appeal.

(3) Status of Claims

Claims 1-8 are pending in this application of which claims 1, 3, and 5-8 stand rejected. Claims 2 and 4 have been allowed and no claims have been canceled. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, and 8 have been previously amended and are set forth in the Appendix of Appealed Claims on page 10 with the remaining claims as originally presented.

Pending claims 1, 3, and 5-8 are subject to this appeal and stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,570,108 to McLaughlin et al. (hereinafter, "McLaughlin"), as set forth in a Final Office Action dated March 11, 2002.

(4) Status of Amendments

A final rejection was issued on March 11, 2002 whereupon Applicant responded to address the rejection of claims 1, 3, and 5-8. Subsequently, an Advisory Action was issued on May 23, 2002 dismissing the traversal and

25 || a u

maintaining the rejection of claims 1, 3, and 5-8. No other amendments have been filed subsequent to the Examiner's final rejection or ensuing Advisory Action.

(5) Summary of Invention

The present Application describes a data structure that includes a provision for aggregating a group of controls, referred to as a control group, and for defining the control group as active or inactive (*Specification* p.10, lines 16-22). A control group identifier designates which control group a particular control belongs to when each particular control is identified in the data structure (*Specification* p.16, lines 7-9). This provides a convenient method to activate or deactivate a group of the controls registered in the data structure (*Specification* p.19, lines 6-16).

(6) Issue

Whether pending claims 1, 3, and 5-8 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over McLaughlin?

(7) Grouping of Claims

Pending claims 1, 3, and 5-8 stand or fall together.

(8) Argument

Claims 1, 3, and 5-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over McLaughlin. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

McLaughlin describes a system to calibrate and control a display screen with user selectable controls displayed on the display screen. The system enables a user to lock in a selected set of display parameters so that the parameters can not

ì	l b
2	s
3	s
4	s
5	d
6	1
7	
8	i
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	r
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	

20

21

22

23

24

25

be easily, or inadvertently, changed (col. 7, lines 31-36). Display parameters are selected with configuration controls and locked in when activating locking software (col. 7, lines 37-65). McLaughlin also describes that the locking software periodically polls the current status of the display and corrects any display parameter having a value that differs from a desired value (col. 8, lines 10-21).

McLaughlin does not teach or suggest the combination of elements recited in the claims of the subject application for the following reasons:

(1) McLaughlin does not disclose representing a control group with a single status indicator in a data structure.

Claim 1 is representative of the pending claims 1, 3, and 5-8. Claim 1 recites in part:

In a computer system having a video display device, the video display device having a screen, a method comprising:

providing a plurality of controls on the screen of the video display device;

identifying a control group, the control group being comprised of at least two controls associated in a data structure;

representing the control group with a single status indicator in the data structure; and ...

McLaughlin makes no reference to representing a control group with a single status indicator in a data structure. McLaughlin does not teach or suggest any correlation between configuration controls, or icons, and a memory or storage



device, other than to indicate that parameter and calibration data is stored as separately accessible files (col. 14).

The Office states that McLaughlin teaches associating a group of controls and polling the display status to identify user commands. McLaughlin describes selecting a configuration control 48 to "activate" two displayed controls 49 and 50 (Fig. 4; col. 7, lines 39-42). The Office contends that these controls are associated in a data structure and represented with a single status indicator (*Final Office Action* p.3). However, this is not supported by the reference itself. McLaughlin is completely silent with regard to how the controls are represented in memory. Furthermore, the Office has not identified any portion of McLaughlin that would have suggested any particular way of representing controls in memory or any other data structure.

The Office assumes, based on the functionality of McLaughlin's controls 48, 49, and 50, that McLaughlin uses a single status indicator to indicate whether controls 49 and 50 are "activated." However, McLaughlin does not describe any such status indicator. Although McLaughlin is silent on the subject, it is more likely that McLaughlin responds to selection of control 48 by setting two status indicators—one corresponding to control 49 and another corresponding to control 50—to indicate that the two controls have been "activated." McLaughlin contains absolutely nothing that would have suggested a single status indicator to represent the two controls.

Note that McLaughlin does not describe storing the state of control 48. In other words, McLaughlin does not store any state information relating to whether control 48 has been selected. Instead, McLaughlin responds to selection of control 48 by "activating" controls 49 and 50. In all likelihood, this involves changing the

state information corresponding to each of these controls, respectively. There is absolutely no indication in McLaughlin of any sort of combined state information.

The Office states that McLaughlin discloses "a control group identifier contained in memory because he discloses a software feature that initiates the polling of grouped control status, which indicates that the status of the group controls is maintained in memory" (*Final Office Action* p.6). This statement is incorrect because it assumes, without support, that a group status is maintained in memory. Nothing in McLaughlin suggests or requires such a group status. Again, although McLaughlin says nothing about how the configuration controls might be represented in memory, it is much more likely that McLaughlin maintains a status indicator for each separate control 49 and 50.

Thus, McLaughlin does not "[represent] the control group with a single status indicator in the data structure" as recited by claim 1.

(2) McLaughlin does not disclose activation of controls by storing an active value in a single status indicator.

Claim 1 also recites:

directing the activation of the controls of the control group by storing an active value in the single status indicator.

The Office recognizes that McLaughlin does not disclose group activation of controls of a control group by storing an active value in a single status indicator (Office Action dated 6/20/01, p.3). Furthermore, the Office has not cited any other references to overcome this deficiency of McLaughlin.



(3) The Office has recognized that McLaughlin does not disclose elements positively recited in the pending claims.

The Office has recognized that McLaughlin does <u>not</u> disclose:

- directing the activation of controls of a control group by storing an active value in a single status indicator (Office Action dated 6/20/01, p.3);
- a control grouping identifier contained within memory (Office Action dated 12/4/01 p.4 and Final Office Action p.4);
- a control grouping identifier having an active state and an inactive state (Office Action dated 12/4/01 p.4 and Final Office Action p.4); or
- the control grouping identifier representing controls of a control grouping (Office Action dated 12/4/01 p.4 and Final Office Action p.4).

Even though the Office has and continues to recognize that McLaughlin does not disclose elements positively recited in claims 1, 3, and/or 8, the Office continues to reject these claims without citing any other references to overcome the deficiencies of McLaughlin.

Accordingly, for the above reasons, claims 1, 3, and 5-8 are allowable over McLaughlin because the reference does not teach or suggest the combination of elements recited in the claims.



Conclusion

The Office's basis and supporting rationale for the §103 rejection is not supported by the express teachings of the McLaughlin reference. Applicant respectfully requests that the §103 rejection be overturned and that pending claims 1, 3, and 5-8 be allowed to issue along with allowed claims 2 and 4.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: 0+ 8, 2002

By:

David A. Morasch Reg. No. 42,905

(509) 324-9256 ext. 210

Appendix of Appealed Claims (9)

In a computer system having a video display device, the video 1. display device having a screen, a method comprising:

providing a plurality of controls on the screen of the video display device;

identifying a control group, the control group being comprised of at least two controls associated in a data structure;

representing the control group with a single status indicator in the data structure; and

directing the activation of the controls of the control group by storing an active value in the single status indicator.

2. In a computer system having a video display device, the video display device having a screen and the computer system including a cursor which is displayed on the screen, a method comprising:

providing a plurality of controls on the screen of the video display device; identifying a control group, the control group being comprised of at least two controls associated in a data structure;

representing the control group with a single status indicator in the data structure;

directing the activation of the controls of the control group by storing an active value in the single status indicator;

identifying a location on the screen that the cursor points to; and

for each control of the control group, identifying a control position, the control position defining a location on the screen for the activated control, determining a control distance, the control distance defining a control connecting path which connects the identified location with the control position, calculating a control angle, the control angle being an angle formed between the control connecting path and a last direction of cursor movement path, and calculating a weighted distance.



l	
2	
3	

3. An apparatus for activating and deactivating a control grouping, the control grouping being comprised of at least two controls and being displayed on a screen of a video display device of a computer system, the apparatus including:

a memory formed within the computer system; and

a control grouping identifier contained within the memory, wherein the control grouping identifier has an active state and an inactive state and wherein the control grouping identifier represents the controls of the control grouping.

4. An apparatus for activating and deactivating a control grouping, the control grouping being comprised of at least two controls and being displayed on a screen of a video display device of a computer system, the apparatus including:

a memory formed within the computer system; and

a control grouping identifier contained within the memory, wherein the control grouping identifier has an active state and an inactive state and wherein the control grouping identifier is a bit of a control word that represents the controls of the control grouping.

- 5. The method of claim 1, further comprising directing the activation of individual controls by storing an active value in a status indicator for each control.
- 6. The method of claim 1, further comprising directing the deactivation of the controls of the control group by masking the active value in the single status indicator.

7. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

directing the deactivation of the controls of the control group by masking the active value in the single status indicator; and

directing the activation of the controls of the control group by storing an active value in a status indicator for each control.

8. The apparatus of claim 3 wherein the apparatus further includes an identifier for an individual control contained within the memory, and wherein the identifier for the individual control has an active state and an inactive state.

